For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1	IN	J	ГΗ	\mathbf{F}	H	N	\mathbf{T}	\mathbf{FI})	5	\mathbf{T}	'Δ	Т	F	2	D	IS	T'	'n	T	C^r	Г	C	\cap	T	I	7	Γ
J	יוו	N	111		U	INI		டப	,	N	1	\Box	L	ند	.)	IJ	10		1/	ш	v.		U.	v	ľ	ıΓ		

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

TRACYE B. WASHINGTON,

No. C 05-2775 WHA (PR)

Plaintiff,

W. A. DUNCAN; R. BOUCHER; L. HERNANDEZ; E. MOORE; A. GOMEZ; R. PEREZ; L. BAEZ; W.L. MUNIZ;

ORDER DIRECTING SPONSE TO MOTION FOR MEDIATE INJUNCTIVE RELIEF: INSTRUCTIONS TO

Defendants.

This is a civil rights case filed pro se by a state prisoner. The action is presently stayed pending location of pro bono counsel by the Federal Pro Bono Project, to which this case has been referred. Plaintiff has filed a motion for immediate injunctive relief in which he alleges that he was attacked by an inmate at the direction of officials of the prison where he is currently incarcerated, in retaliation for the present lawsuit. Plaintiff seeks to be relocated to another prison. Although this matter is stayed, the allegations in the motion are sufficiently serious to warrant a response from defendants.

Plaintiff has adequately explained in the motion why he did not serve the motion upon defense counsel. Consequently, in this particular instance only, his obligation to serve the motion on defense counsel will be excused and the clerk shall mail a copy of

Case 3:05-cv-02775-WHA	Document 83 Filed 10/28/11 Page 2 of 2
plaintiff's motion for immediat	te injunctive relief (docket number 82) to defense counsel.
Within SEVEN days of the date	this order is filed, defendants shall respond to the
allegations in the motion and sl	how cause why it should not be granted.
IT IS SO ORDERED.	
Dated: October <u>28</u> , 2011.	WILLIAM ALSUP UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE